

# Table of Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Purpose and Need</b> .....	<b>1-1</b>
1.1	Changes to this chapter since the DEIS .....	1-1
1.2	Introduction.....	1-1
1.3	What are the project limits and how were they selected? .....	1-3
1.4	What is the history of the I-20/26/126 corridor?.....	1-5
1.5	How would the project be funded?.....	1-5
1.6	What is the purpose of the proposed project? .....	1-6
1.7	Why is the project needed?.....	1-7
1.7.1	Outdated Infrastructure .....	1-7
1.7.2	Growth in Population and Employment.....	1-7
1.7.3	Decreased Mobility and Increased Traffic Congestion.....	1-8
1.7.4	Increase in Crash Rates and Fatality Rates.....	1-15
1.7.5	Preparing for Growth in Freight Traffic .....	1-18
1.7.6	Lack of Pedestrian and Bicycle Infrastructure .....	1-19
1.8	How were the public and agencies involved? .....	1-21
1.9	United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Determination of Purpose and Need .....	1-22
1.9.1	What is the USACE’s Purpose of the proposed project?.....	1-22
1.9.2	Why is the USACE’s Project Purpose important? .....	1-22
1.9.3	What is the responsibility of USACE to review the Statement of Need? .....	1-22
1.10	How was the purpose and need used to evaluate alternatives? .....	1-23
1.11	Conclusion .....	1-23
<b>2</b>	<b>Development of Alternatives</b> .....	<b>2-1</b>
2.1	Changes to this chapter since the DEIS .....	2-1
2.2	Developing Alternatives .....	2-1
2.2.1	Who is responsible for managing transportation in the Columbia metropolitan area?.....	2-7
2.2.2	What congestion management strategies are currently in place to manage congestion? .....	2-8
2.2.3	What was the Range of Alternatives for the Preliminary Screening? .....	2-11
2.2.4	Which Alternatives were carried forward as Reasonable Alternatives?.....	2-18
2.2.5	What was the ‘Level 1 Screening’ step?.....	2-20
2.2.6	What was ‘Level 2 Screening’? .....	2-44
2.2.7	What was the ‘Level 3’ Screening Analysis’?.....	2-53
2.2.8	Were facilities for HOV considered in the development of the REasonable Alternatives? .....	2-61
2.2.9	Were other Congestion Management Process (CMP) strategies incorporated into the Reasonable Alternatives? .....	2-62
2.3	What was the Recommended Preferred Alternative in the DEIS?.....	2-65
2.4	What changes have been made to the Recommended Preferred Alternative since the DEIS and Public Hearing? .....	2-69

# Table of Contents

2.5	What is the Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative?.....	2-78
2.6	How were the USACE Public Interest Review factors considered? .....	2-82
<b>3</b>	<b>Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.0	Introduction.....	3-1
3.1	Land Use .....	3-3
3.1.1	Changes to this chapter since the DEIS .....	3-3
3.1.2	Who is responsible for land use plans within the project study area? .....	3-3
3.1.3	What methodology was used for analysis of land use? .....	3-3
3.1.4	What is the land use project study area?.....	3-4
3.1.5	What is the affected environment within the project study area?.....	3-7
3.1.6	What are area plans? .....	3-26
3.1.7	Where is development activity expected to occur within the project study area? .....	3-35
3.1.8	What are the environmental consequences? .....	3-36
3.1.9	What conclusions can be drawn regarding direct land use impacts? .....	3-41
3.1.10	How would land use impacts be mitigated? .....	3-42
3.2	Farmlands .....	3-43
3.2.1	Changes to this chapter since the DEIS .....	3-43
3.2.2	Why is it important to protect farmland? .....	3-43
3.2.3	How is farmland protected?.....	3-43
3.2.4	What are the different types of protected farmland? .....	3-44
3.2.5	What types and amounts of farmland are in the project study area?.....	3-44
3.2.6	How would the No-build Alternative impact farmlands? .....	3-45
3.2.7	How would the RPA and the Refined RPA impact farmlands?.....	3-45
3.3	Socioeconomics and Communities.....	3-47
3.3.1	Changes to this Chapter since the DEIS.....	3-47
3.3.2	What communities are in the project study area?.....	3-47
3.3.3	What data sources and methodologies were used to characterize the communities?.....	3-50
3.3.4	What are the Existing characteristics of the study area and communities?.....	3-51
3.3.5	How would the Recommended Preferred Alternative and the Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Impact Communities?.....	3-93
3.3.6	How would community and economic impacts be mitigated?.....	3-132
3.4	Air Quality.....	3-135
3.4.1	Changes to this chapter since the DEIS .....	3-135
3.4.2	How are air quality standards regulated? .....	3-135
3.4.3	What about MSATs? .....	3-137
3.4.4	How would the No-build Alternative impact air quality?.....	3-143
3.4.5	How would the RPA and the Refined RPA impact air quality?.....	3-143
3.5	Noise.....	3-145
3.5.1	Changes to this chapter since the DEIS .....	3-145

# Table of Contents

3.5.2	What is noise and how could noise impact people? .....	3-145
3.5.3	How are noise impacts estimated? .....	3-146
3.5.4	What happens when noise impacts occur?.....	3-148
3.5.5	What are the results of the preliminary analysis? .....	3-150
3.5.6	What are the results of the detailed noise analysis? .....	3-191
3.5.7	How were noise barriers assessed for mitigation? .....	3-230
3.5.8	Will there be noise during construction?.....	3-233
3.5.9	What coordination occurred with local officials? .....	3-233
3.5.10	How was the public involved?.....	3-234
3.6	Water Quality .....	3-237
3.6.1	Changes to this chapter since the DEIS .....	3-237
3.6.2	How is water quality assessed?.....	3-237
3.6.3	What drainage basin is the project located within?.....	3-238
3.6.4	Where does the proposed project drain? .....	3-242
3.6.5	Are any streams in the Project Study Area impaired? .....	3-242
3.6.6	Have any TMDLs been developed in the Project Study Area? .....	3-243
3.6.7	Are any point sources located within the Project Study Area?.....	3-244
3.6.8	How would the No-build Alternative impact water quality? .....	3-245
3.6.9	How would the RPA and the refined RPA impact water quality? .....	3-245
3.6.10	How would impacts to water quality be mitigated? .....	3-246
3.7	Water Resources .....	3-249
3.7.1	Changes to this Chapter since the DEIS.....	3-249
3.7.2	What are water resources? .....	3-249
3.7.3	What agencies are responsible for regulating water resources within the Project Study Area? .....	3-249
3.7.4	What methodology was used for the analysis of water resources? .....	3-251
3.7.5	What is the Project Study Area for water resources? .....	3-252
3.7.6	What water resources exist within the Project Study Area? .....	3-253
3.7.7	How would water resources be impacted by the project? .....	3-267
3.7.8	How would impacts to water resources be mitigated? .....	3-288
3.8	Floodplains.....	3-293
3.8.1	Changes to this Chapter since the DEIS.....	3-293
3.8.2	What are floodplains? .....	3-293
3.8.3	How are floodplains regulated? .....	3-293
3.8.4	What floodplains are located within the project study area?.....	3-294
3.8.5	How would the no-build Alternative impact floodplains? .....	3-301
3.8.6	How would the RPA impact floodplains? .....	3-301
3.8.7	How would the Refined RPA impact floodplains?.....	3-301
3.8.8	What are the flooding risks and potential impacts to floodplain values? .....	3-302
3.8.9	How would impacts to floodplains be mitigated?.....	3-303

# Table of Contents

3.9	Natural Resources .....	3-305
3.9.1	Changes to this chapter since the DEIS .....	3-305
3.9.2	What are natural resources? .....	3-305
3.9.3	How were natural resources within the Project Study Area identified and assessed? .....	3-305
3.9.4	How are natural resources protected? .....	3-306
3.9.5	What natural resources are in the project study area? .....	3-308
3.9.6	How would the No-Build Alternative impact natural resources? .....	3-336
3.9.7	How would the Alternatives impact natural resources? .....	3-336
3.9.8	How would project impacts to natural resources be mitigated? .....	3-339
3.10	Cultural Resources .....	3-341
3.10.1	Changes to the chapter since the DEIS .....	3-341
3.10.2	How are cultural resources protected? .....	3-341
3.10.3	How were cultural resources identified? .....	3-342
3.10.4	What historic resources were found during the survey? .....	3-344
3.10.5	What archaeological resources were found during the survey? .....	3-348
3.10.6	What are the potential impacts to cultural resources? .....	3-355
3.10.7	Consultation and coordination with Federally Recognized Tribes .....	3-355
3.10.8	Consultation and coordination with the SHPO .....	3-357
3.10.9	What mitigation measures would be taken to protect cultural resources? .....	3-357
3.11	Section 4(f) Resources .....	3-359
3.11.1	Changes to this chapter since the DEIS .....	3-359
3.11.2	What is Section 4(f)? .....	3-359
3.11.3	What 4(f) resources were considered within the project study area? .....	3-360
3.11.4	How would Section 4(f) resources be affected? .....	3-361
3.11.5	How would impacts to Section 4(f) resources be avoided and/or minimized during construction? .. .....	3-362
3.12	Hazardous Materials .....	3-365
3.12.1	Changes to this chapter since the DEIS .....	3-365
3.12.2	What are hazardous materials and hazardous waste sites? .....	3-365
3.12.3	What is the affected environment within the project study area? .....	3-366
3.12.4	What methodology was used for analysis of hazardous materials and hazardous sites? .....	3-366
3.12.5	How would the alternatives impact hazardous materials? .....	3-369
3.12.6	How would hazardous materials impacts be mitigated? .....	3-381
3.13	Construction .....	3-383
3.13.1	Changes to this chapter since the DEIS .....	3-383
3.13.2	What types of construction activities would occur on this proposed project? .....	3-383
3.13.3	How would the public be notified during construction? .....	3-384
3.13.4	How would construction affect the environment and how would it be mitigated? .....	3-384
3.14	Energy .....	3-391
3.14.1	Changes to this Chapter since the DEIS .....	3-391

# Table of Contents

3.14.2	What are the existing energy consumption conditions in the Corridor?.....	3-391
3.14.3	What Would be the effects of the RPA and the Refined RPA on existing energy consumption conditions in the corridor? .....	3-392
3.14.4	What mitigation measures would be taken for energy consumption? .....	3-393
3.15	Indirect and Cumulative Effects .....	3-395
3.15.1	Changes to this Chapter since the DEIS.....	3-395
3.15.2	Indirect Effects.....	3-395
3.15.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-414
3.15.4	Conclusion .....	3-457
3.16	Short-Term Uses versus Long-Term Productivity.....	3-459
3.17	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Resources.....	3-461
3.18	Permits.....	3-463
3.18.1	Changes to this chapter since the DEIS .....	3-463
3.18.2	Section 404 of the Clean Water Act .....	3-463
3.18.3	Section 401 of the Clean Water Act .....	3-463
3.18.4	Section 402 of the Clean Water Act .....	3-463
3.18.5	Construction in State Navigable Waters .....	3-464
3.18.6	Section 9 of the Rivers and Harbors Act (USCG) .....	3-464
3.18.7	Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act.....	3-464
3.19	Sustainability .....	3-465
3.19.1	Changes to this chapter since the DEIS .....	3-465
3.19.2	What is sustainability?.....	3-465
3.19.3	What are the sustainability goals for the project?.....	3-466
3.19.4	How is sustainability being documented for the project? .....	3-466
3.19.5	What are key sustainability considerations for the project? .....	3-467
3.19.6	How would sustainability be documented during construction and operation of the project?.....	3-472
<b>4</b>	<b>Public and Agency Involvement.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	Changes to this chapter since the DEIS .....	4-1
4.2	What are the goals and objectives of Public and Agency Involvement?.....	4-1
4.3	Agency Coordination: Who are the cooperating and participating agencies?.....	4-2
4.3.1	Lead Agencies .....	4-2
4.3.2	Participating Agencies .....	4-3
4.4	What agency meetings were held? .....	4-4
4.4.1	Agency COORDINATION Effort (ACE) Meetings .....	4-4
4.5	Who else is participating in the project?.....	4-6
4.6	What tools are used to communicate information? .....	4-6
4.6.1	Meeting Notifications.....	4-6
4.6.2	Meeting materials .....	4-9
4.6.3	Website.....	4-10

# Table of Contents

---

4.6.4	Online meetings .....	4-10
4.6.5	Social media.....	4-10
4.6.6	Pop-up events.....	4-11
4.6.7	Newsletters .....	4-11
4.6.8	Speakers bureau.....	4-12
4.6.9	Media Relations.....	4-13
4.6.10	Advertising.....	4-13
4.7	How were project mailing lists developed? .....	4-14
4.8	What public meetings were held?.....	4-14
4.8.1	Kick-off meeting .....	4-15
4.8.2	Preliminary alternatives meeting .....	4-16
4.8.3	Reasonable alternatives meeting.....	4-16
4.8.4	Public Hearing.....	4-17
4.9	Noise Advisory Board .....	4-17
4.10	Stakeholder Advisory Committee.....	4-19
4.11	One-on-one meetings.....	4-20
4.12	Mobility input group.....	4-21
4.13	Bush River Road business meeting.....	4-21
4.14	How were communities of concern included?.....	4-22
4.14.1	Environmental Justice/LEP outreach strategies .....	4-22
4.14.2	Americans with Disabilities Act .....	4-23
4.15	How were public and agency comments incorporated into the proposed project? .....	4-23
4.16	What are the next steps in agency and public involvement? .....	4-25
<b>5</b>	<b>List of Preparers.....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Distribution List .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1	Notification of FEIS/ROD Publication and Electronic Copy of the FEIS/ROD.....	6-1
6.1.1	Federal Agencies.....	6-1
6.1.2	State Agencies .....	6-1
6.1.3	Local Governments or Agenices .....	6-1
6.2	Locations with Hard Copies of the FEIS/ROD for Public Review .....	6-1
6.3	Notice of FEIS/ROD Publication.....	6-2
6.3.1	Federal Representatives.....	6-2
6.3.2	State Elected Officials.....	6-2
6.3.3	Senate.....	6-2
6.3.4	House of Representatives.....	6-2
6.3.5	City of Columbia Elected Officials.....	6-2
6.3.6	Richland County Elected Officials.....	6-3
6.3.7	Richland County Planning Commission .....	6-3

# Table of Contents

---

6.3.8	Town of Irmo Elected Officials .....	6-3
6.3.9	City of West Columbia Elected Officials .....	6-3
6.3.10	Town of Chapin Elected Officials .....	6-3
6.3.11	Town of Lexington Elected Officials .....	6-3
6.3.12	Lexington County Council .....	6-4
6.3.13	Community Advocacy Groups .....	6-4
6.3.14	Business Community .....	6-4
6.3.15	Civic Organizations .....	6-5
6.3.16	Neighborhood Associations.....	6-5
6.3.17	Utilities.....	6-6
6.3.18	Transportation Related Organizations .....	6-6
6.3.19	Additional Hispanic Outreach Groups .....	6-6
6.3.20	Additional Disabled Population Outreach Groups .....	6-6

# Table of Contents

---

## Tables

Table 1.1 Cooperating and Participating Agencies for the Carolina Crossroads EIS*	1-2
Table 1.2 Interstate Mainline Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	1-10
Table 1.3 2040 Mainline Traffic Volumes for the No-Build Alternative	1-12
Table 1.4 I-26 Mainline LOS; Existing Conditions and 2040 No-Build Peak Hours <sup>1</sup>	1-13
Table 1.5 I-20 Mainline LOS; Existing Conditions and 2040 No-Build Peak Hours <sup>1</sup>	1-14
Table 1.6 I-126 Mainline LOS; Existing Conditions and 2040 No-Build Peak Hours <sup>1</sup>	1-14
Table 1.7 Existing Mainline Travel Times and Average Speeds for Each Corridor <sup>1</sup>	1-15
Table 1.8 2040 No-Build Peak Hour Travel Times and Average Speeds for Each Corridor <sup>1</sup>	1-15
Table 1.9 Total Crash Rates and FSI Crash Rates Comparison	1-17
Table 2.1 Holistic Representative Alternatives	2-22
Table 2.2 Level 1B Screening Criteria	2-44
Table 2.3 Summary of Results for Level 2 Screening	2-47
Table 2.4 Summary of Results for Level 3 Screening – Average Travel Time	2-54
Table 2.5 Summary of Results for Level 3 Screening – Average Speed	2-55
Table 2.6 Summary of Results for Level 3 Screening – Environmental Impacts	2-56
Table 2.7 Summary of Results for Level 3 Screening – Construction Cost	2-57
Table 2.8 2040 I-26 Mainline LOS During Peak Hours <sup>1</sup>	2-59
Table 2.9 2040 I-20 Mainline LOS During Peak Hours <sup>1</sup>	2-60
Table 2.10 2040 I-126 LOS During Peak Hours <sup>1</sup>	2-61
Table 2.11 Summary of Environmental Impacts of the RPA and Refined RPA	2-79
Table 2.12 2040 I-26 Mainline LOS During Peak Hours <sup>1</sup>	2-80
Table 2.13 2040 I-20 Mainline LOS During Peak Hours <sup>1</sup>	2-81
Table 2.14 2040 I-126 Mainline LOS During Peak Hours <sup>1</sup>	2-81
Table 2.15 USACE Public Interest Review Factors	2-82
Table 3.1-1 Project Study Area Land Use	3-8
Table 3.1-2 Area Land Use Plans	3-26
Table 3.1-3 Direct Land Use Impacts at Interchanges	3-37
Table 3.1-4 Land Uses Converted by the Alternatives at the Corridor Level	3-41
Table 3.2-1 Summary of Farmland Soils in the Project Study Area	3-45
Table 3.3-1 Study Area Demographic Profile	3-52
Table 3.3-2 Study Area Economic Profile	3-52
Table 3.3-3 Study Area Socioeconomic Trends	3-53
Table 3.3-4 Columbiana Subdivisions	3-55
Table 3.3-5 Seven Oaks Subdivisions	3-57
Table 3.3-6 Saluda Subdivisions	3-59
Table 3.3-7 Riverbanks Subdivisions	3-61
Table 3.3-8 Harbison Subdivisions	3-63
Table 3.3-9 St. Andrews Subdivisions	3-65



# Table of Contents

---

Table 3.3-10 Broad Subdivisions .....	3-68
Table 3.3-11 Columbiana Resources .....	3-71
Table 3.3-12 Seven Oaks Resources .....	3-73
Table 3.3-13 Saluda Resources .....	3-75
Table 3.3-14 Riverbanks Resources .....	3-77
Table 3.3-15 Harbison Resources .....	3-79
Table 3.3-16 St. Andrews Resources .....	3-81
Table 3.3-17 Broad Resources .....	3-83
Table 3.3-18 Environmental Justice Populations .....	3-87
Table 3.3-19 Individuals with Disabilities .....	3-92
Table 3.3-20 Community Impact Matrix - Potential Adverse Impacts* .....	3-98
Table 3.3-21 Peak-hour Traffic Volumes on Bush River Road .....	3-109
Table 3.3-22 Exit 108 Destination Trips 2015 AM Peak Hour .....	3-110
Table 3.3-23 Exit 108 Destination Trips 2015 PM Peak Hour .....	3-110
Table 3.3-24 Exit 108 Destination Trips 2040 AM Peak Hour .....	3-111
Table 3.3-25 Exit 108 Destination Trips 2040 PM Peak Hour .....	3-111
Table 3.3-26 List of Affected Businesses .....	3-114
Table 3.3-27 Capital Expenses .....	3-116
Table 3.3-28 Summary of Cumulative Economic Impacts by Type of Effect – South Carolina (2017 – 2024) ... .....	3-117
Table 3.3-29 Summary of Cumulative Economic Impacts – Richland Co. and Lexington Co. (2017 – 2024) .....	3-118
Table 3.3-30 Summary of Economic Impact Analysis results by Major Cost Category – South Carolina (2017 – 2024) .....	3-119
Table 3.3-31 Business owner Ethnicity, I-26/Bush River Road Interchange .....	3-131
Table 3.4-1 Attainment Classifications and Definitions .....	3-135
Table 3.4-2 National Ambient Air Quality Standards .....	3-136
Table 3.4-3 Air Quality Monitoring Stations near Project Study Area .....	3-137
Table 3.4-4 Vehicle Miles Traveled for the Alternatives .....	3-140
Table 3.5-1 Noise-Abatement Criteria .....	3-147
Table 3.5-2 Mitigation Types Considered for Noise Impacts .....	3-149
Table 3.5-3 Summary of Impacts (Preliminary Analysis) .....	3-151
Table 3.5-4 Summary of Preliminary Noise Mitigation Analysis for the RPA .....	3-190
Table 3.5-5 Summary of Impacts by Alternative (Detailed Analysis, Number of Receivers) .....	3-193
Table 3.5-6 Summary of Detailed Noise Mitigation Analysis, Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative .. .....	3-231
Table 3.6-1 303(d) List of Impaired Waters within the Project Study Area .....	3-243
Table 3.6-2 Increase of Impervious Surface .....	3-246
Table 3.7-1 Project Study Area Groundwater Resources – Lexington County .....	3-256
Table 3.7-2 Project Study Area Groundwater Resources – Richland County .....	3-257

# Table of Contents

Table 3.7-3 Project Study Area Surface Water Resources* .....	3-257
Table 3.7-4 Rivers and Streams within the Project Study Area .....	3-263
Table 3.7-5 Wetlands within the Project Study Area* .....	3-264
Table 3.7-6 Open Waters and Ponds within the Project Study Area .....	3-264
Table 3.7-7 Impact Comparison of the Recommended Preferred Alternative and the Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative .....	3-270
Table 3.8-1 FEMA-Regulated Floodplains within the Project Study Area1 .....	3-294
Table 3.8-2 Comparison of Impacts Between the RPA and the Refined RPA (acres).....	3-302
Table 3.8-3 Potential Floodplain and/or Floodway Crossings, RPA and Refined RPA.....	3-302
Table 3.9-1 Threatened and Endangered Species in Lexington and Richland Counties, South Carolina..	3-330
Table 3.9-2 Summary of Preliminary Estimated Impacts to Natural Habitat Communities .....	3-337
Table 3.9-3 Summary of Federally Endangered and Threatened Species and Biological Conclusions .....	3-338
Table 3.10-1 Previously Identified Architectural Resources within Vicinity of Proposed Project.....	3-345
Table 3.10-2 Newly Identified Architectural Resources within Project Study Area .....	3-346
Figure 3.11-1 Impacts on Saluda Riverwalk extension .....	3-363
Figure 3.11-2 I-26 west to I-126 east ramp alignment in relation to Saluda Canal Historical Site.....	3-364
Table 3.12-1 Types of High Risk Sites In the Hazardous Materials Project Study Area.....	3-369
Table 3.12-2 Potentially Affected High-Risk Sites by the RPA and the Refined RPA.....	3-371
Table 3.14-1 Existing (2015) Average Annual Vehicle Fuel Consumption, Peak Periods .....	3-392
Table 3.14-2 Future (2040) Average Annual Vehicle Fuel Consumption, Peak Periods.....	3-392
Table 3.14-3 Future (2040) Average Annual Vehicle Fuel Consumption, Peak Periods.....	3-393
Table 3.15-1 Impact-Causing Activities for the Proposed Project.....	3-405
Table 3.15-2 Summary of Major Streams within the Indirect Effects Study Area .....	3-410
Table 3.15-3 Qualitative Summary Checklist for Identifying Cumulative Effects.....	3-416
Table 3.15-4 Past Richland Pennies for Progress Projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area. ....	3-418
Table 3.15-5 Past or Ongoing STIP Projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area .....	3-419
Table 3.15-6 Summary of Past Development Based on Aerial Photography from 1993 to 2016.....	3-427
Table 3.15-7 Past Richland Pennies for Progress Projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area .....	3-428
Table 3.15-8 Past or Ongoing STIP projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area .....	3-430
Table 3.15-9 SCDHEC Support Use Designations for Water Bodies in the Cumulative Effects Study Area .....	3-433
Table 3.15-10 Pollutants that Cause Impaired Support Use Classifications.....	3-434
Table 3.15-11 Past Impaired Support Use Designations for Major Streams.....	3-434
Table 3.15-12 Summary of Pollutants for Major Streams that Cause Impaired Support Use Designations.....	3-434
Table 3.15-13 Current (2016) Impaired Support Use Designations for Major Streams.....	3-437
Table 3.15-14 Current Pollutants (2016) for Major Streams that Cause Impaired Support Use Designations .	3-437
Table 3.15-15 Proposed Direct Impacts to Potentially Jurisdictional Waters of the US for the Proposed Project .....	3-439

# Table of Contents

---

Table 3.15-16 Increase of Impervious Surfaces for the Proposed Project .....	3-439
Table 3.15-17 Summary of Potential Effects on Resources Identified within the Cumulative Effects Study Area .....	3-440
Table 3.15-18 Future Richland Pennies for Progress Projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area. ....	3-443
Table 3.15-19 Future STIP Projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area .....	3-444
Table 3.15-20 Future Richland Pennies for Progress Projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area	3-449
Table 3.15-21 Future STIP Projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area .....	3-450
Table 3.19-1 Recommended Preferred Alternative & Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Greyfield and Greenfield Analysis .....	3-469
Table 3.19-2 Climate Risks Relevant to the Carolina Crossroads Project Corridor .....	3-471
Table 3.19-3 Sustainability Action Plan .....	3-476
Table 4.1 Participating Agencies.....	4-4
Table 4.2 Summary of Comments Received before the DEIS.....	4-24
Table 4.3 Summary of Comments Received after the DEIS .....	4-25
Table 4.4 Type and Number of Comments Received .....	4-25

# Table of Contents

## Figures

Figure 1.1 Regional overview .....	1-3
Figure 1.2 Study area limits .....	1-4
Figure 1.3 History of the I-20/26/126 corridor.....	1-5
Figure 1.4 Project purposes.....	1-6
Figure 1.5 Central-Midlands region population increase .....	1-7
Figure 1.6 Definition of level of service .....	1-8
Figure 1.7 Existing traffic capacity on the I-20/26/126 corridor .....	1-9
Figure 1.8 I-20/26/126 Corridor Collision Summary .....	1-16
Figure 1.9 Weaving sections.....	1-17
Figure 1.10 Area of complex weaving movements .....	1-18
Figure 2.1 Alternatives analysis process.....	2-5
Figure 2.2 Range of alternatives.....	2-13
Figure 2.3 Summary of preliminary screening results.....	2-19
Figure 2.4 Preliminary interchange alternative designs.....	2-20
Figure 2.5 Recommended preferred alternative map .....	2-67
Figure 2.6 Design changes to RA1 .....	2-70
Figure 2.6A Design changes to RA1 – at I-26/Harbison Interchange .....	2-71
Figure 2.6B Design changes to RA1 – at I-26/Piney Grove Interchange.....	2-73
Figure 2.6C Design changes to RA1 – I-26/ St. Andrews Road and Berryhill Road.....	2-74
Figure 2.6D Design changes to RA1 – I-20/Broad River Road and Gale Drive Realignment .....	2-75
Figure 2.6E Design changes to RA1 – I-20/Bush River Road Interchange .....	2-76
Figure 2.6F Design changes to RA1 – I-20/US 378.....	2-77
Figure 3.1-1 Land use project study area .....	3-6
Figure 3.1-2 Land use .....	3-9
Figure 3.1-3 Existing land use within a half mile radius of interchanges .....	3-13
Figure 3.1-4 Land use within a half mile of I-20 / Bush River Road.....	3-14
Figure 3.1-5 Land use within a half mile of I-20 / I-26.....	3-15
Figure 3.1-6 Land use within a half mile of I-20 / Broad River Road.....	3-16
Figure 3.1-7 Land use within a half mile of I-26 / Broad River Road.....	3-17
Figure 3.1-8 Land use within a half mile of I-26 / Lake Murray Boulevard .....	3-18
Figure 3.1-9 Land use within a half mile of I-26 / Harbison Boulevard .....	3-19
Figure 3.1-10 Land use within a half mile of I-26 / Piney Grove Road .....	3-20
Figure 3.1-11 Land use within a half mile of I-26 / Saint Andrews.....	3-21
Figure 3.1-12 Land use within a half mile of I-26 / Bush River Road.....	3-22
Figure 3.1-13 Land use within a half mile of I-26 / I-126.....	3-23
Figure 3.1-14 Land use within a half mile of I-26 / Sunset Boulevard.....	3-24
Figure 3.1-15 Land use within a half mile of I-126 / Colonial Life Boulevard.....	3-25
Figure 3.3-1 Community Study Area .....	3-49

# Table of Contents

Figure 3.3-2 Census Block Groups .....	3-54
Figure 3.3-3 Columbiana Community Facilities and Environmental Justice .....	3-72
Figure 3.3-4 Seven Oaks Community Facilities and Environmental Justice .....	3-74
Figure 3.3-5 Saluda Community Facilities and Environmental Justice .....	3-76
Figure 3.3-6 Riverbanks Community Facilities and Environmental Justice .....	3-78
Figure 3.3-7 Harbison Community Facilities and Environmental Justice .....	3-80
Figure 3.3-8 St. Andrews Community Facilities and Environmental Justice .....	3-82
Figure 3.3-9 Broad Community Facilities and Environmental Justice .....	3-84
Figure 3.3-10 I-126/ Colonial Life Blvd Interchange .....	3-108
Figure 3.3-11 I-26/ Bush River Road Interchange Impact Area .....	3-113
Figure 3.4-1 FHWA projected national MSAT emission trends 2010 – 2050 for vehicles operating on roadways using EPA’s MOVES2014a Model.....	3-138
Figure 3.5-1 Weighted Noise Levels and Human Response .....	3-146
Figure 3.5-2 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers Overview .....	3-152
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 1 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-153
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 2 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-154
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 3 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-155
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 4 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-156
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 5 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-157
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 6 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-158
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 7 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-159
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 8 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-160
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 9 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-161
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 10 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-162
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 11 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-163
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 12 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-164
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 13 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-165
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 14 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-166
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 15 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-167
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 16 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-168
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 17 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-169
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 18 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-170
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 19 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-171
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 20 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-172
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 21 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-173
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 22 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-174
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 23 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-175
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 24 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-176
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 25 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-177
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 26 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-178

# Table of Contents

---

Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 27 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-179
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 28 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-180
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 29 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-181
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 30 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-182
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 31 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-183
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 32 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-184
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 33 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-185
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 34 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-186
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 35 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-187
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 36 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-188
Figure 3.5-2 Sheet 37 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers .....	3-189
Figure 3.5-3 Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers Overview... .....	3-194
Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 1) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.... .....	3-195
Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 2) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.... .....	3-196
Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 3) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.... .....	3-197
Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 4) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.... .....	3-198
Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 5) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.... .....	3-199
Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 6) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.... .....	3-200
Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 7) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.... .....	3-201
Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 8) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.... .....	3-202
Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 9) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.... .....	3-203
Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 10) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.. .....	3-204
Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 11) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.. .....	3-205
Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 12) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.. .....	3-206
Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 13) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.. .....	3-207

# Table of Contents

---

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 14) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-208

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 15) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-209

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 16) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-210

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 17) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-211

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 18) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-212

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 19) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-213

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 20) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-214

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 21) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-215

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 22) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-216

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 23) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-217

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 24) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-218

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 25) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-219

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 26) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-220

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 27) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-221

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 28) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-222

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 29) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-223

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 30) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-224

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 31) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-225

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 32) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-226

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 33) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..  
..... 3-227



# Table of Contents

Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 34) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..	3-228
Figure 3.5-3 Sheet 35) Refined Recommended Preferred Alternative Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers..	3-229
Figure 3.5-4 Feasibility and Reasonableness Criteria .....	3-231
Figure 3.6-1 Water Quality .....	3-240
Figure 3.7-1 Geologic region map .....	3-254
Figure 3.7-2 Generalized subsurface hydrogeology of project study area (modified from Aucott et al, 1987)	3-256
Figure 3.7-3 Watershed Map.....	3-258
Figure 3.7-4 Surface Water Resources .....	3-262
Figure 3.7-5A Refined RPA Surface Water Impacts.....	3-272
Figure 3.7-5B Refined RPA Surface Water Impacts .....	3-273
Figure 3.7-5C Refined RPA Surface Water Impacts .....	3-274
Figure 3.7-5D Refined RPA Surface Water Impacts.....	3-275
Figure 3.7-5E Refined RPA Surface Water Impacts .....	3-276
Figure 3.7-5F Refined RPA Surface Water Impacts .....	3-277
Figure 3.7-5G Refined RPA Surface Water Impacts.....	3-278
Figure 3.7-5H Refined RPA Surface Water Impacts.....	3-279
Figure 3.7-5I Refined RPA Surface Water Impacts .....	3-280
Figure 3.7-5J Refined RPA Surface Water Impacts.....	3-281
Figure 3.7-5K Refined RPA Surface Water Impacts .....	3-282
Figure 3.7-5L Refined RPA Surface Water Impacts.....	3-283
Figure 3.7-5M Refined RPA Surface Water Impacts.....	3-284
Figure 3.7-5N Refined RPA Surface Water Impacts.....	3-285
Figure 3.7-5O Refined RPA Surface Water Impacts.....	3-286
Figure 3.7-5P Refined RPA Surface Water Impacts .....	3-287
Figure 3.8-1A FEMA 100-year floodplain map .....	3-295
Figure 3.8-1B FEMA 100-year floodplain map.....	3-296
Figure 3.8-1C FEMA 100-year floodplain map.....	3-297
Figure 3.8-1D FEMA 100-year floodplain map .....	3-298
Figure 3.8-1E FEMA 100-year floodplain map.....	3-299
Figure 3.8-1F FEMA 100-year floodplain map.....	3-300
Figure 3.9-1A Natural habitat communities.....	3-313
Figure 3.9-1B Natural habitat communities .....	3-314
Figure 3.9-1C Natural habitat communities .....	3-315
Figure 3.9-1D Natural habitat communities.....	3-316
Figure 3.9-1E Natural habitat communities .....	3-317
Figure 3.9-1F Natural habitat communities .....	3-318
Figure 3.9-1G Natural habitat communities.....	3-319



# Table of Contents

---

Figure 3.9-1H Natural habitat communities.....	3-320
Figure 3.9-1I Natural habitat communities .....	3-321
Figure 3.9-1J Natural habitat communities.....	3-322
Figure 3.9-1K Natural habitat communities .....	3-323
Figure 3.9-1L Natural habitat communities.....	3-324
Figure 3.9-1M Natural habitat communities.....	3-325
Figure 3.9-1N Natural habitat communities.....	3-326
Figure 3.9-1O Natural habitat communities.....	3-327
Figure 3.9-1P Natural habitat communities .....	3-328
Figure 3.10-1 Typical view of the Saluda Canal, facing west.....	3-350
Figure 3.10-2 Typical view of the Saluda Canal, facing east.....	3-351
Figure 3.10-3 View of Site 38RD277, facing east.....	3-352
Figure 3.12-1A High risk sites .....	3-375
Figure 3.12-1B High risk sites .....	3-375
Figure 3.12-1C High risk sites .....	3-377
Figure 3.12-1D High risk sites .....	3-378
Figure 3.12-1E High risk sites.....	3-379
Figure 3.12-1F High risk sites.....	3-380
Figure 3.15-1 Direct Effects Study Area.....	3-400
Figure 3.15-2 Indirect Effects Study Area for Land Use and Communities .....	3-401
Figure 3.15-3 Indirect Effects Study Area for Streams, Wetlands, Water Quality, and Floodplains.....	3-402
Figure 3.15-4 Major streams within the Indirect Effects Study Area.....	3-411
Figure 3.15-5 Past Richland Pennies for Progress projects in the Cumulative Effects Study Area .....	3-420
Figure 3.15-6 Past or ongoing STIP projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area.....	3-421
Figure 3.15-7 Percent imperviousness in the Nicholas Creek-Broad River HUC.....	3-423
Figure 3.15-8 Percent imperviousness in the Nicholas Creek-Broad River HUC.....	3-423
Figure 3.15-9 NLCD 2001 percent impervious cover.....	3-424
Figure 3.15-10 NLCD 2006 percent impervious cover.....	3-425
Figure 3.15-11 NLCD 2011 percent impervious cover.....	3-426
Figure 3.15-12 Past Richland Pennies for Progress Projects in the stream, wetland, water quality, floodplains study area .....	3-431
Figure 3.15-13 Past or ongoing STIP projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area.....	3-432
Figure 3.15-14 Water Quality Impairment Station locations and TMDL Watersheds .....	3-436
Figure 3.15-15 Future transportation projects in the cumulative effects study area.....	3-445
Figure 3.15-16 Future STIP projects in the cumulative effects study area .....	3-446
Figure 3.15-17 Future Richland Pennies for Progress projects in the stream, wetland, water quality, and floodplains study area .....	3-452
Figure 3.15-18 Future STIP projects in the stream, wetland, water quality, and floodplains study area.	3-453

# Table of Contents

---

Figure 4.1 Sample meeting postcard-front .....	4-6
Figure 4.2 Sample meeting postcard -back .....	4-7
Figure 4.3 Sample flier .....	4-8
Figure 4.4 Carolina Crossroads website .....	4-10
Figure 4.5 Billboard.....	4-13
Figure 4.6 Sample Facebook ad.....	4-14

## Appendices

- A: Purpose and Need Report
- B: Agency Correspondence
- C: Alternatives Development and Screening Report
- D: Alternatives Traffic Analysis Technical Memo
- E: Interchange Modification Report
- F: Congestion Management Process Technical Memorandum
- G: Community Impact Assessment
- H: Economic Analysis Report
- I: Relocation Impact Study
- J: Noise Technical Report
- K: Floodplains Checklist and Bridge Risk Assessment Forms
- L: Natural Resources Technical Report
- M: Cultural Resources Technical Report
- N: Agency Coordination and Public Involvement
- O: Public Involvement Materials
- P: Section 4(f) Correspondence

## Index