

Table of Contents

1	Purpose and Need.....	1-1
1.1	Introduction.....	1-1
1.2	What are the project limits and how were they selected?	1-3
1.3	What is the history of the I-20/26/126 corridor?.....	1-5
1.4	How would the project be funded?.....	1-5
1.5	What is the purpose of the proposed project?	1-6
1.6	Why is the project needed?.....	1-7
1.6.1	Outdated Infrastructure	1-7
1.6.2	Growth in Population and Employment.....	1-7
1.6.3	Decreased Mobility and Increased Traffic Congestion.....	1-8
1.6.4	Increase in Crash Rates and Fatality Rates	1-16
1.6.5	Preparing for Growth in Freight Traffic	1-18
1.6.6	Lack of Pedestrian and Bicycle Infrastructure	1-19
1.6.7	Lack of Transit Infrastructure and Access.....	1-20
1.7	How were the public and agencies involved?	1-21
1.8	United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Determination of Purpose and Need	1-22
1.8.1	What is the USACE's Purpose of the proposed project?	1-22
1.8.2	Why is the USACE's Project Purpose important?	1-22
1.8.3	What is the responsibility of USACE to review the Statement of Need?	1-22
1.9	How was the purpose and need used to evaluate alternatives?	1-23
1.10	Conclusion	1-23
2	Traffic Conditions and Development of Reasonable Alternatives	2-1
2.1	Developing Alternatives	2-1
2.1.1	Who is responsible for managing transportation in the Columbia metropolitan area?	2-7
2.1.2	What congestion management strategies are currently in place to manage congestion?	2-8
2.1.3	What was the Range of alternatives for the preliminary screening?	2-11
2.1.4	Which alternatives were carried forward as Reasonable Alternatives?	2-17
2.1.5	What was the 'Level 1 Screening' step?	2-19
2.1.6	What was 'Level 2 Screening'?	2-43
2.1.7	What was the 'Level 3' Screening Analysis'?	2-52
2.1.8	What is the Recommended Preferred Alternative?	2-61
2.1.9	How were the USACE public interest review factors considered?.....	2-67
3	Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences	3-1
3.0	Introduction.....	3-1
3.1	Land Use	3-3
3.1.1	Who is responsible for land use plans within the project study area?	3-3
3.1.2	What methodology was used for analysis of land use?	3-3
3.1.3	What is the land use project study area?	3-4

Table of Contents

3.1.4	What is the affected environment within the project study area?.....	3-7
3.1.5	What are area plans?	3-26
3.1.6	Where is development activity expected to occur within the project study area?	3-34
3.1.7	What are the environmental consequences?	3-35
3.1.8	What conclusions can be drawn regarding direct land use impacts?	3-41
3.1.9	How will land use impacts be mitigated?.....	3-42
3.2	Farmlands	3-43
3.2.1	Why is it important to protect farmland?	3-43
3.2.2	How is farmland protected?.....	3-43
3.2.3	What are the different types of protected farmland?	3-44
3.2.4	What types and amounts of farmland are in the Project study area?	3-44
3.2.5	How would the no-build alternative impact farmlands?	3-45
3.2.6	How would the reasonable alternatives impact farmlands?	3-45
3.3	Socioeconomics and Communities.....	3-47
3.3.1	What communities are in the project study area?.....	3-47
3.3.2	What data sources and methodologies were used to characterize the communities?.....	3-50
3.3.3	What are the existing characteristics of the study area and communities?	3-51
3.3.4	How would the Reasonable Alternatives impact communities?	3-92
3.3.5	How would community and economic impacts be mitigated?.....	3-127
3.4	Air Quality.....	3-131
3.4.1	How are air quality standards regulated?	3-131
3.4.2	What about MSATs?	3-133
3.4.3	How would the No-build Alternative impact air quality?.....	3-139
3.4.4	How would the Reasonable Alternatives impact air quality?	3-139
3.5	Noise.....	3-141
3.5.1	What is noise and how could noise impact people?	3-141
3.5.2	How are noise impacts estimated?	3-142
3.5.3	What are the anticipated noise impacts?	3-144
3.5.4	What happens when noise impacts occur?.....	3-224
3.5.5	How were noise barriers assessed for mitigation?	3-225
3.5.6	Refinements to the noise analysis.....	3-230
3.5.7	Will there be noise during construction?	3-230
3.5.8	What coordination occurred with local officials?	3-231
3.5.9	How was the public involved?	3-231
3.6	Water Quality	3-233
3.6.1	How is water quality assessed?	3-233
3.6.2	What drainage basin is the project located within?.....	3-234
3.6.3	Where does the proposed project drain?	3-237
3.6.4	Are any streams in the project study area impaired?	3-237
3.6.5	Have any TMDLs been developed in the project study area?.....	3-238

Table of Contents

3.6.6	Are any point sources located within the project study area?	3-239
3.6.7	How would the No-build Alternative impact water quality?	3-240
3.6.8	How would the Reasonable Alternatives impact water quality?	3-240
3.6.9	How would impacts to Water Quality be mitigated?	3-241
3.7	Water Resources	3-243
3.7.1	What agencies are responsible for regulating water resources within the project study area?	3-243
3.7.2	What methodology was used for the analysis of water resources?	3-244
3.7.3	What Is the project study area for water resources?	3-246
3.7.4	What water resources exist within the project study area?	3-246
3.7.5	How would water resources be impacted by the project?	3-261
3.7.6	How Would impacts to water resources be mitigated?	3-278
3.8	Floodplains	3-283
3.8.1	What are floodplains?	3-283
3.8.2	How are floodplains regulated?	3-283
3.8.3	What floodplains are located within the project study area?	3-284
3.8.4	How would the No-Build Alternative impact floodplains?	3-289
3.8.5	How would the reasonable alternatives impact floodplains?	3-289
3.8.6	What are the flooding risks and potential impacts to floodplain values?	3-290
3.8.7	How would impacts to floodplains be mitigated?	3-291
3.9	Natural Resources	3-293
3.9.1	How were natural resources within the project study area identified and assessed?	3-293
3.9.2	How are natural resources protected?	3-293
3.9.3	What natural resources are in the project study area?	3-295
3.9.4	How would the No-Build Alternative impact natural resources?	3-319
3.9.5	How would the proposed project impact natural resources?	3-319
3.9.6	How would project impacts to natural resources be mitigated?	3-321
3.10	Cultural Resources	3-323
3.10.1	How are cultural resources protected?	3-323
3.10.2	How were cultural resources identified?	3-324
3.10.3	What historic resources were found during the survey?	3-326
3.10.4	What archaeological resources were found during the survey?	3-330
3.10.5	What are the potential impacts to cultural resources?	3-337
3.10.6	Consultation and coordination with Federally recognized tribes	3-337
3.10.7	Consultation and coordination with the SHPO	3-338
3.10.8	What mitigation measures would be taken to protect cultural resources?	3-339
3.11	Section 4(f) Resources	3-341
3.11.1	What is Section 4(f)?	3-341
3.11.2	What 4(f) resources were considered within the project study area?	3-341
3.11.3	How would Section 4(f) resources be affected?	3-342

Table of Contents

3.11.4	How would impacts to Section 4(f) resources be avoided and/or minimized during construction?	3-343
3.12	Hazardous Materials.....	3-347
3.12.1	What are hazardous materials and hazardous waste sites?	3-347
3.12.2	What is the affected environment within the project study area?.....	3-348
3.12.3	What methodology was used for analysis of hazardous materials and hazardous sites?	3-348
3.12.4	How would the reasonable alternatives impact hazardous materials?	3-351
3.12.5	How would hazardous materials impacts be mitigated?	3-365
3.13	Construction	3-367
3.13.1	What types of construction activities would occur on this proposed project?	3-367
3.13.2	What construction methods would be used?	3-368
3.13.3	How would the public be notified during construction?.....	3-369
3.13.4	How would construction affect the environment and how would it be mitigated?.....	3-369
3.14	Energy.....	3-375
3.14.1	What are the existing energy consumption conditions in the corridor?	3-375
3.14.2	What are the effects of the reasonable alternatives on existing energy consumption conditions in the corridor?.....	3-376
3.14.3	What mitigation measures would be taken for energy consumption?	3-377
3.15	Indirect and Cumulative Effects	3-379
3.15.1	Indirect effects.....	3-379
3.15.2	Cumulative effects.....	3-425
3.15.3	Conclusion	3-466
3.16	Short-Term Uses versus Long-Term Productivity.....	3-467
3.17	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources.....	3-469
3.18	Permits.....	3-471
3.18.1	Section 404 of the Clean Water Act	3-471
3.18.2	Section 401 of the Clean Water Act	3-471
3.18.3	Section 402 of the Clean Water Act	3-471
3.18.4	Construction in State Navigable Waters	3-472
3.18.5	Section 9 of the Rivers and Harbors Act (USCG)	3-472
3.18.6	Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act.....	3-472
3.19	Sustainability	3-473
3.19.1	What is sustainability?.....	3-473
3.19.2	What are the sustainability goals for the project?.....	3-473
3.19.3	How is sustainability being documented for the project?	3-474
3.19.4	What are key sustainability considerations for the project?	3-475
3.19.5	How would sustainability be documented during construction and operation of the project?	3-480

Table of Contents

4	Public and Agency Involvement	4-1
4.1	What are the goals and objectives of public and agency Involvement?	4-1
4.2	Who are the cooperating and participating agencies?	4-2
4.2.1	Lead agencies	4-2
4.2.2	Cooperating agencies	4-2
4.2.3	Participating agencies.....	4-3
4.3	Who else is participating in the project?.....	4-4
4.4	What tools are used to communicate information?	4-4
4.4.1	Meeting notifications	4-4
4.4.2	Meeting materials	4-7
4.4.3	Website.....	4-7
4.4.4	Online meetings	4-8
4.4.5	Social media.....	4-8
4.4.6	Pop-up events.....	4-9
4.4.7	Newsletters	4-9
4.4.8	Speakers bureau	4-10
4.4.9	Media Relations.....	4-10
4.4.10	Advertising.....	4-11
4.5	How were project mailing lists developed?	4-11
4.6	What public meetings were held?.....	4-12
4.6.1	What meetings were held for the public?.....	4-12
4.6.2	Kick-off meeting	4-12
4.6.3	Scoping meeting.....	4-13
4.6.4	Preliminary alternatives meeting.....	4-13
4.6.5	Reasonable alternatives meeting.....	4-14
4.6.6	Notice of availability and public hearing for DEIS	4-14
4.7	Noise Advisory Board	4-15
4.7.1	Stakeholder Advisory Committee.....	4-16
4.7.2	One-on-one meetings.....	4-17
4.7.3	Mobility input group.....	4-18
4.7.4	Bush River Road business meeting.....	4-18
4.8	How were communities of concern included?.....	4-18
4.8.1	Environmental Justice/LEP outreach strategies.....	4-19
4.8.2	Americans with Disabilities Act	4-20
4.9	What agency meetings were held?	4-20
4.9.1	Agency coordination effort (ACE) meetings.....	4-20
4.10	How were public and agency comments incorporated into the proposed project?	4-21
4.11	What are the next steps in agency and public involvement?	4-23
5	List of Preparers.....	5-1

Table of Contents

6	Distribution List	6-1
6.1	Notification of DEIS Publication and electronic copy of the DEIS	6-1
6.1.1	Federal agencies	6-1
6.1.2	State agencies	6-1
6.1.3	Local governments or agenices	6-1
6.2	Locations with hard copies of the Draft EIS for public review	6-1
6.3	Notice of DEIS publication	6-2
6.3.1	Federal representatives	6-2
6.3.2	State elected officials	6-2
6.3.3	Senate	6-2
6.3.4	House of Representatives	6-2
6.3.5	City of Columbia elected officials	6-2
6.3.6	Richland County elected officials	6-3
6.3.7	Richland County Planning Commission	6-3
6.3.8	Town of Irmo elected officials	6-3
6.3.9	City of West Columbia elected officials	6-3
6.3.10	Town of Chapin elected officials	6-3
6.3.11	Town of Lexington elected officials	6-3
6.3.12	Lexington County Council	6-3
6.3.13	Community advocacy groups	6-3
6.3.14	Business community	6-3
6.3.15	Civic organizations	6-5
6.3.16	Neighborhood associations	6-5
6.3.17	Utilities	6-6
6.3.18	Transportation Related Organizations	6-6
6.3.19	Additional Hispanic outreach groups	6-6
6.3.20	Additional disabled population outreach groups	6-6

Table of Contents

Tables

Table 1.1 Cooperating and participating agencies for the Carolina Crossroads DEIS*	1-2
Table 1.2 Interstate Mainline Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	1-10
Table 1.3 2040 Mainline Traffic Volumes for the No-Build Alternative	1-12
Table 1.4 I-26 Mainline LOS; Existing Conditions and 2040 No-Build Peak Hours ¹	1-13
Table 1.5 I-20 Mainline LOS; Existing Conditions and 2040 No-Build Peak Hours ¹	1-14
Table 1.6 I-126 Mainline LOS; Existing Conditions and 2040 No-Build Peak Hours ¹	1-14
Table 1.7 Existing Mainline Travel Times and Average Speeds for Each Corridor ¹	1-15
Table 1.8 2040 No-Build Peak Hour Travel Times and Average Speeds for Each Corridor ¹	1-15
Table 1.9 Total Crash Rates and FSI Crash Rates Comparison	1-17
Table 2.1 Holistic Representative Alternatives	2-21
Table 2.2 Level 1B Screening Criteria	2-43
Table 2.3 Summary of Results for Level 2 Screening	2-46
Table 2.4 Summary of Results for Level 3 Screening – Average Travel Time	2-53
Table 2.5 Summary of Results for Level 3 Screening – Average Speed	2-54
Table 2.6 Summary of Results for Level 3 Screening – Environmental Impacts	2-55
Table 2.7 Summary of Results for Level 3 Screening – Construction Cost	2-56
Table 2.8 2040 I-26 Mainline LOS During Peak Hours ¹	2-58
Table 2.9 2040 I-20 Mainline LOS During Peak Hours ¹	2-59
Table 2.10 2040 I-126 LOS During Peak Hours ¹	2-60
Table 2.11 USACE Public Interest Review Factors	2-67
Table 3.1-1 Project Study Area Land Use	3-8
Table 3.1-2 Area Land Use Plans	3-26
Table 3.1-3 Direct Land Use Impacts at Interchanges	3-36
Table 3.1-4 Land Uses Converted by RA1 at the Corridor Level	3-39
Table 3.1-5 Land Uses Converted by RA5 Modified at the Corridor Level	3-41
Table 3.1-6 Land Uses Converted by Reasonable Alternatives at the Corridor Level	3-41
Table 3.2-1 Summary of Farmland Soils in the Project Study Area	3-44
Table 3.3-1 Study Area Demographic Profile	3-52
Table 3.3-2 Study Area Economic Profile	3-52
Table 3.3-3 Study Area Socioeconomic Trends	3-53
Table 3.3-4 Columbiana Subdivisions	3-55
Table 3.3-5 Seven Oaks Subdivisions	3-56
Table 3.3-6 Saluda Subdivisions	3-58
Table 3.3-7 Riverbanks Subdivisions	3-59
Table 3.3-8 Harbison Subdivisions	3-61
Table 3.3-9 St. Andrews Subdivisions	3-64
Table 3.3-10 Broad Subdivisions	3-66
Table 3.3-11 Columbiana Resources	3-69

Table of Contents

Table 3.3-12 Seven Oaks Resources	3-72
Table 3.3-13 Saluda Resources	3-74
Table 3.3-14 Riverbanks Resources	3-76
Table 3.3-15 Harbison Resources	3-78
Table 3.3-16 St. Andrews Resources	3-80
Table 3.3-17 Broad Resources	3-82
Table 3.3-18 Environmental Justice Populations	3-86
Table 3.3-19 Individuals with Disabilities	3-91
Table 3.3-20 Community Impact Matrix - Potential Adverse Impacts*	3-97
Table 3.3-21 Peak-hour Traffic Volumes on Bush River Road	3-107
Table 3.3-22 Exit 108 Destination Trips 2015 AM Peak Hour	3-108
Table 3.3-23 Exit 108 Destination Trips 2015 PM Peak Hour	3-108
Table 3.3-24 Exit 108 Destination Trips 2040 AM Peak Hour	3-109
Table 3.3-25 Exit 108 Destination Trips 2040 PM Peak Hour	3-109
Table 3.3-26 List of Affected Businesses	3-111
Table 3.3-27 Capital Expenses	3-113
Table 3.3-28 Summary of Cumulative Economic Impacts by Type of Effect – South Carolina (2017 – 2024)	3-114
Table 3.3-29 Summary of Cumulative Economic Impacts – Richland Co. and Lexington Co. (2017 – 2024)	3-115
Table 3.3-30 Summary of Economic Impact Analysis results by Major Cost Category – South Carolina (2017 – 2024)	3-116
Table 3.3-31 Business owner Ethnicity, I-26/Bush River Road Interchange	3-126
Table 3.4-1 Attainment Classifications and Definitions	3-131
Table 3.4-2 National Ambient Air Quality Standards	3-132
Table 3.4-3 Air Quality Monitoring Stations near Project Study Area	3-133
Table 3.4-4 Vehicle Miles Traveled for Each Alternative	3-137
Table 3.5-1 Noise-Abatement Criteria	3-143
Table 3.5-2 Summary of Impacts by Alternative	3-146
Table 3.5-3 Mitigation Types Considered for Noise Impacts	3-224
Table 3.5-4 Summary of Preliminary Noise Mitigation Analysis for RA1	3-227
Table 3.5-5 Summary of Preliminary Noise Mitigation Analysis for RA5 Modified	3-229
Table 3.6-1 303(d) List of Impaired Waters within the Project Study Area	3-238
Table 3.6-2 Increase of Impervious Surface by Reasonable Alternative	3-240
Table 3.7-1 Project Study Area Groundwater Resources – Lexington County	3-250
Table 3.7-2 Project Study Area Groundwater Resources – Richland County	3-251
Table 3.7-3 Project Study Area Surface Water Resources*	3-251
Table 3.7-4 Rivers and Streams within the Project Study Area	3-257
Table 3.7-5 Wetlands within the Project Study Area*	3-258
Table 3.7-6 Open Waters and Ponds within the Project Study Area	3-258

Table of Contents

Table 3.7-7 Impact Comparison of Reasonable Alternatives	3-264
Table 3.7-8 Impact Comparison of Reasonable Alternatives	3-279
Table 3.8-1 FEMA-Regulated Floodplains within the Project Study Area1	3-284
Table 3.8-2 Summary of Potential Floodplain Impacts (in acres), RA1 (Preferred Alternative).....	3-289
Table 3.8-3 Potential Floodplain and/or Floodway Crossings, RA1	3-289
Table 3.8-4 Summary of Potential Floodplain Impacts (in acres), RA5 Modified.....	3-290
Table 3.8-5 Potential Floodplain and/or Floodway Crossings, RA5 Modified.....	3-290
Table 3.9-1 Threatened and Endangered Species in Lexington and Richland Counties, South Carolina..	3-313
Table 3.9-2 Summary of Preliminary Estimated Impacts to Natural Habitat Communities	3-320
Table 3.9-3 Summary of Federally Endangered and Threatened Species and Biological Conclusions	3-321
Table 3.10-1 Previously Identified Architectural Resources within Vicinity of Proposed Project.....	3-327
Table 3.10-2 Newly Identified Architectural Resources within Project Study Area.....	3-328
Table 3.12-1 Types of High Risk Sites In the Hazardous Materials Project Study Area.....	3-351
Table 3.12-2 Potentially Affected High-Risk Sites by Reasonable Alternatives.....	3-352
Table 3.14-1 Existing (2015) Average Annual Vehicle Fuel Consumption, Peak Periods	3-375
Table 3.14-2 Future (2040) Average Annual Vehicle Fuel Consumption, Peak Periods.....	3-376
Table 3.14-3 Future (2040) Average Annual Vehicle Fuel Consumption, Peak Periods.....	3-377
Table 3.15-1 Impact-Causing Activities for the Proposed Project.....	3-416
Table 3.15-2 Summary of Major Streams within the Indirect Effects Study Area	3-421
Table 3.15-3 Qualitative Summary Checklist for Identifying Cumulative Effects.....	3-427
Table 3.15-4 Past Richland Pennies for Progress Projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area.	3-428
Table 3.15-5 Past or Ongoing STIP Projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area	3-429
Table 3.15-6 Summary of Past Development Based on Aerial Photography from 1993 to 2016.....	3-437
Table 3.15-7 Past Richland Pennies for Progress Projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area	3-438
Table 3.15-8 Past or Ongoing STIP projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area	3-439
Table 3.15-9 SCDHEC Support Use Designations for Water Bodies in the Cumulative Effects Study Area.....	3-442
Table 3.15-10 Pollutants that Cause Impaired Support Use Classifications.....	3-443
Table 3.15-11 Past Impaired Support Use Designations for Major Streams.....	3-443
Table 3.15-12 Summary of Pollutants for Major Streams that Cause Impaired Support Use Designations.....	3-443
Table 3.15-13 Current (2016) Impaired Support Use Designations for Major Streams.....	3-446
Table 3.15-14 Current Pollutants (2016) for Major Streams that Cause Impaired Support Use Designations.....	3-446
Table 3.15-15 Potentially Jurisdictional Waters of the US Direct Impact Comparison of Reasonable Alternatives	3-448
Table 3.15-16 Increase of Impervious Surface by Reasonable Alternative.....	3-448
Table 3.15-17 Summary of Potential Effects on Resources Identified within the Project Study Area.....	3-449
Table 3.15-18 Future Richland Pennies for Progress Projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area	3-451

Table of Contents

Table 3.15-19 Future STIP Projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area	3-452
Table 3.15-20 Future Richland Pennies for Progress Projects within the cumulative Effects Study Area	3-458
Table 3.15-21 Future STIP Projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area	3-459
Table 3.19-1 RA1 & RA5 Modified Greyfield and Greenfield Analysis	3-477
Table 3.19-2 Climate Risks Relevant to the Carolina Crossroads Project Corridor	3-478
Table 4-1 Participating Agencies	4-3
Table 4-2 Online Meetings	4-8
Table 4-3 Social Media Followers (as of June 30, 2018).....	4-9
Table 4-4 Pop-Up Events	4-9
Table 4-5 Newsletters.....	4-9
Table 4-6 Speakers Bureau Events	4-10
Table 4-7 Public Meetings	4-12
Table 4-8 Noise Advisory Board Meetings	4-16
Table 4-9 Stakeholder Advisory Committee Meetings.....	4-17
Table 4-10 Other Meetings with the Public	4-17
Table 4-11 Agency Meetings	4-21
Table 4-12 Pre-DEIS Summary of Comments Received.....	4-22
Table 4-13 Type and Number of Comments Received.....	4-23

Table of Contents

Figures

Figure 1.1 Regional overview	1-3
Figure 1.2 Study area limits	1-4
Figure 1.3 History of the I-20/26/126 corridor.....	1-5
Figure 1.4 Project purposes.....	1-6
Figure 1.5 Central-Midlands region population increase	1-7
Figure 1.6 Existing traffic capacity on the I-20/26/126 corridor	1-9
Figure 1.7 I-20/26/126 corridor collision summary.....	1-16
Figure 1.8 Weaving Sections.....	1-17
Figure 1.9 Area of complex weaving movements	1-18
Figure 2.1 Alternatives analysis process.....	2-5
Figure 2.2 Range of alternatives.....	2-12
Figure 2.3 Summary of preliminary screening results.....	2-18
Figure 2.4 Preliminary interchange alternative designs.....	2-19
Figure 2.5 Recommended preferred alternative map	2-65
Figure 3.1-1 Land use project study area	3-5
Figure 3.1-2 Land use	3-8
Figure 3.1-3 Existing land use within a half mile radius of interchanges	3-12
Figure 3.1-4 Land use within a half mile of I-20 / Bush River Road.....	3-13
Figure 3.1-5 Land use within a half mile of I-20 / I-26.....	3-14
Figure 3.1-6 Land use within a half mile of I-20 / Broad River Road	3-15
Figure 3.1-7 Land use within a half mile of I-26 / Broad River Road	3-16
Figure 3.1-8 Land use within a half mile of I-26 / Lake Murray Boulevard	3-17
Figure 3.1-9 Land use within a half mile of I-26 / Harbison Boulevard	3-18
Figure 3.1-10 Land use within a half mile of I-26 / Piney Grove Road	3-19
Figure 3.1-11 Land use within a half mile of I-26 / Saint Andrews.....	3-20
Figure 3.1-12 Land use within a half mile of I-26 / Bush River Road.....	3-21
Figure 3.1-13 Land use within a half mile of I-26 / I-126.....	3-22
Figure 3.1-14 Land use within a half mile of I-26 / Sunset Boulevard.....	3-23
Figure 3.1-15 Land use within a half mile of I-126 / Colonial Life Boulevard.....	3-24
Figure 3.3-1 Community Study Area	3-49
Figure 3.3 2 Census Block Groups	3-54
Figure 3.3 3 Columbiana Community Facilities and Environmental Justice.....	3-71
Figure 3.3 4 Seven Oaks Community Facilities and Environmental Justice.....	3-73
Figure 3.3 5 Saluda Community Facilities and Environmental Justice	3-75
Figure 3.3 6 Riverbanks Community Facilities and Environmental Justice	3-77
Figure 3.3 7 Harbison Community Facilities and Environmental Justice.....	3-79
Figure 3.3 8 St. Andrews Community Facilities and Environmental Justice.....	3-81
Figure 3.3 9 Broad Community Facilities and Environmental Justice	3-83

Table of Contents

Figure 3.3-10 I-126/ Colonial Life Blvd Interchange	3-106
Figure 3.3 11 I-26/ Bush River Road Interchange Impact Area	3-111
Figure 3.4 1 FHWA projected national MSAT emission trends 2010 – 2050 for vehicles operating on roadways using EPA’s MOVES2014a Model	3-134
Figure 3.5 1 Weighted Noise Levels and Human Response	3-142
Figure 3.5 2 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers Overview.....	3-148
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 1 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-149
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 2 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-150
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 3 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-151
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 4 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-152
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 5 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-153
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 6 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-154
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 7 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-155
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 8 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-156
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 9 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-157
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 10 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-158
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 11 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-159
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 12 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-160
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 13 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-161
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 14 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-162
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 15 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-163
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 16 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-164
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 17 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-165
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 18 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-166
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 19 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-167
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 20 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-168
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 21 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-169
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 22 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-170
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 23 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-171
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 24 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-172
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 25 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-173
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 26 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-174
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 27 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-175
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 28 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-176
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 29 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-177
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 30 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-178
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 31 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-179
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 32 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-180
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 33 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-181
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 34 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-182

Table of Contents

Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 35 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-183
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 36 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-184
Figure 3.5 2 Sheet 37 RA1 Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers.....	3-185
Figure 3.5 3 RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers Overview.....	3-186
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 1) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-187
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 2) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-188
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 3) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-189
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 4) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-190
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 5) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-191
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 6) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-192
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 7) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-193
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 8) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-194
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 9) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-195
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 10) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-196
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 11) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-197
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 12) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-198
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 13) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-199
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 14) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-200
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 15) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-201
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 16) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-202
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 17) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-203
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 18) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-204
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 19) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-205
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 20) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-206
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 21) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-207
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 22) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-208
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 23) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-209
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 24) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-210
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 25) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-211
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 26) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-212
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 27) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-213
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 28) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-214
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 29) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-215
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 30) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-216
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 31) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-217
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 32) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-218
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 33) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-219
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 34) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-220
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 35) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-221
Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 36) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-222

Table of Contents

Figure 3.5 3 Sheet 37) RA5 Modified Noise Impacts and Potential Barriers	3-223
Figure 3.5 4 Reasonability and Feasibility Criteria	3-226
Figure 3.6 1 Water quality	3-235
Figure 3.7 1 Geologic region map.....	3-248
Figure 3.7 2 Generalized subsurface hydrogeology of project study area (modified from Aucott et al, 1987).....	3-250
Figure 3.7 3 Watershed map	3-252
Figure 3.7 4 Surface water resources	3-256
Figure 3.7 5 RA1 Surface water impacts.....	3-266
Figure 3.7 5A RA1 Surface water impacts	3-267
Figure 3.7 5B RA1 Surface water impacts	3-268
Figure 3.7 5C RA1 Surface water impacts.....	3-269
Figure 3.7 5D RA1 Surface water impacts	3-270
Figure 3.7 5E RA1 Surface water impacts.....	3-271
Figure 3.7 6 RA5 Surface water impacts.....	3-272
Figure 3.7 6A RA5 Surface water impacts	3-273
Figure 3.7 6B RA5 Surface water impacts	3-274
Figure 3.7 6C RA5 Surface water impacts.....	3-275
Figure 3.7 6D RA5 Surface water impacts	3-276
Figure 3.7 6E RA5 Surface water impacts.....	3-277
Figure 3.8 1A FEMA 100-year floodplain map.....	3-285
Figure 3.8 1B FEMA 100-year floodplain map.....	3-286
Figure 3.8 1C FEMA 100-year floodplain map.....	3-287
Figure 3.8 1D FEMA 100-year floodplain map.....	3-288
Figure 3.9 1 Natural habitat communities.....	3-300
Figure 3.9 1A Natural habitat communities	3-301
Figure 3.9 1B Natural habitat communities	3-302
Figure 3.9 1C Natural habitat communities	3-303
Figure 3.9 1D Natural habitat communities	3-304
Figure 3.9 1E Natural habitat communities.....	3-305
Figure 3.9 1F Natural habitat communities.....	3-306
Figure 3.9 1G Natural habitat communities	3-307
Figure 3.9 1H Natural habitat communities	3-308
Figure 3.9 1I Natural habitat communities.....	3-309
Figure 3.9 1J Natural habitat communities	3-310
Figure 3.9 1K Natural habitat communities	3-311
Figure 3.10 1 Typical view of the Saluda Canal, facing west	3-332
Figure 3.10 2 Typical view of the Saluda Canal, facing east	3-333
Figure 3.10 3 View of Site 38RD277, facing east	3-334
Figure 3.11 1 Impacts on Saluda Riverwalk extension – RA1 (Preferred)	3-345

Table of Contents

Figure 3.11 2 I-26 west to I-126 east ramp alignment for RA1 (Preferred) in relation to Saluda Canal Historical Site.....	3-346
Figure 3.12.1A RA1 high risk sites	3-356
Figure 3.12.1B RA1 high risk sites.....	3-356
Figure 3.12.1C RA1 high risk sites.....	3-358
Figure 3.12.1D RA1 high risk sites	3-359
Figure 3.12.4A RA5A high risk sites	3-361
Figure 3.12.4B RA5A high risk sites	3-362
Figure 3.12.4C RA5A high risk sites	3-363
Figure 3.12.4D RA5A high risk sites	3-364
Figure 3.15-1 Direct Effects Study Area.....	3-411
Figure 3.15-2 Indirect Effects Study Area for Land Use and Communities	3-412
Figure 3.15-3 Indirect Effects Study Area for Streams, Wetlands, Water Quality, and Floodplains.....	3-413
Figure 3.15-4 Major streams within the Indirect Effects Study Area	3-422
Figure 3.15-5 Past Richland Pennies for Progress projects in the Cumulative Effects Study Area	3-430
Figure 3.15-6 Past or ongoing STIP projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area.....	3-431
Figure 3.15-7 Percent imperviousness in the Nicholas Creek-Broad River HUC.....	3-433
Figure 3.15-8 Percent imperviousness in the Nicholas Creek-Broad River HUC.....	3-433
Figure 3.15-9 NLCD 2001 percent impervious cover.....	3-434
Figure 3.15-10 NLCD 2006 percent impervious cover.....	3-435
Figure 3.15-11 NLCD 2011 percent impervious cover.....	3-436
Figure 3.15-12 Past Richland Pennies for Progress Projects in the stream, wetland, water quality, floodplains study area.....	3-440
Figure 3.15-13 Past or ongoing STIP projects within the Cumulative Effects Study Area.....	3-441
Figure 3.15-14 Water Quality Impairment Station locations and TMDL Watersheds	3-445
Figure 3.15-15 Future transportation projects in the cumulative effects study area.....	3-454
Figure 3.15-16 Future STIP projects in the cumulative effects study area	3-455
Figure 3.15-17 Future Richland Pennies for Progress projects in the stream, wetland, water quality, and floodplains study area.....	3-461
Figure 3.15-18 Future STIP projects in the stream, wetland, water quality, and floodplains study area.....	3-462
Figure 4.1 Sample meeting postcard-front	4-5
Figure 4.2 Sample meeting postcard -back	4-5
Figure 4.3 Sample flier	4-6
Figure 4.4 Carolina Crossroads website	4-8
Figure 4.5 Billboard.....	4-11
Figure 4.6 Sample Facebook ad	4-11

Table of Contents

Appendices

- A: Purpose and Need Report
- B: Agency Correspondence
- C: Alternatives Development and Screening Report
- D: Alternatives Traffic Analysis Technical Memo
- E: Congestion Management Process Technical Memorandum
- F: Community Impact Assessment
- G: Economic Analysis Report
- H: Relocation Study
- I: Noise Technical Report
- J: Floodplains Checklist and Bridge Risk Assessment Forms
- K: Natural Resources Technical Report
- L: Cultural Resources Technical Report
- M: Agency Coordination and Public Involvement
- N: Public Involvement Materials
- O: Section 4(f) Correspondence

Index